



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,320	08/28/2003	Thomas M. McCormick	CH2M.44	7807
25871 75	10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
SWANSON & BRATSCHUN L.L.C.			SINGH, SUNIL	
1745 SHEA CENTER DRIVE SUITE 330			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HIGHLANDS I	RANCH, CO 80129		3673	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/651,320	MCCORMICK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Sunil Singh	3673			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-17</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9 and 18-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive	u.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/25/05</u> .	6) Other:	,			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20051015			
- (,					

Application/Control Number: 10/651,320 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,2,4,9,18-21, 24, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klaymar (US 5765967).

Klaymar discloses a bedding for a utility line (2) comprising an elongate trench (1) formed in the earth; a filter fabric wrap (8) lining a lengthwise segment of the trench having a select length, a first select depth of porous particulate material (4) resting on a trench bottom underlying a utility line configured to prevent intrusion of ground water and supporting the utility line in the lengthwise segment; and a second select depth of porous particulate material (4) overlying the utility line (2) in the lengthwise segment; the select length of the lengthwise segment, the first select depth and the second select depth being selected to store a select volume of water. A conduit providing (5,7,9,11) communication between a source of water (surface water from rainfall). Re claim 24, the source of the storm water run off (9,11) to be dissipated through a conduit (5,7).

Application/Control Number: 10/651,320

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klaymar in view of Lacey (US 4199272)

Klaymar discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Klaymar is silent about including a well. Lacey teaches a well (19). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Klaymar to include a well as taught by Lacey in order to replenish the ground water.

5. Claims 5,22-23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klaymar in view of Johnson et al. (US 5836716)

Klaymar discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Klaymar is silent about including a perforated pipe. Johnson et al. teaches that perforated pipes are old and well known (see Figs 2-4). It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Klaymar to include a perforated pipe as taught by Johnson et al. in order to provide drainage means thus reducing static pressure that can cause the (oil/gas) pipe to rupture.

Re claim 23, the source of the storm water run off to be dissipated (this is considered as (5,7,9,11).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 18, 25 and 29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 11-17 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3673

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7051. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:30 AM - 7:00 FM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh Primary Examiner for willing L Art Unit 3673

SS